

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,533	12/30/2003	Chang-Youn Hwang	00939H-087700US	8876
20350	7590 08/28/2006		EXAM	INER
TOWNSEN	ND AND TOWNSEND A	RAO, SHRINIVAS H		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CISCO, CA 94111-3834	2814		
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BC	<u></u>
dress	
andonment of nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3) e of the following	
hichever is later. In tion. FILED WITHIN	
ate extension fee riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,	·
ths of the date of he appeal. Since	
pecause	
the issues for	
(PTOL-324).	
ent canceling the	
explanation of	
ot be entered is necessary and	

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/749,533	HWANG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Steven H. Rao	2814

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence add THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evide places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 C a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, where the set forth in the final rejection. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final reject Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropria have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate the corresponding amount of the fee. under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Off set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two mont filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. 🔀 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered b (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendm non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will be entered and an how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants' argument that Park in figure %b does not describe the first inter-layer insulation layer is etched without exposing the first barrier layer is not persuasive because park in fig. 5B shows the first insulating layer 108 (and not layer 112 as alleged by the Applicants') being etched so that a portion of 108 remains over 112 as seen fig. 5B. Therefore Park describes etching the first inter-layer insulation layer (108), without exposing the first barrier layer (112). i.e. a partial portion of the first inter-layer insulation layer (108) remains over the first barrier layer (112), therefore Park teaches/describes all recited features of presently recited claim 1 Applicants' same argument with regard to claim 11 is also not persuasive for the same reasons stated above. Applicants' contention that dependent claims 2-10 and 12-20 were allowable because of their dependency on allegedly allowable independent claims 1 and 11 is not persuasive because as shown above claims 1 and 11 are not allowable, therefore claims 2-10 and 12-20 are also not allowable.

3/16/06

// LONG PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER